



**A Canadian Celebration of Excellence in Health  
Research**

CIHR Gold Leaf Prize for Transformation:  
Patient Engagement

Nomination Form

1. Nominee(s) information\*

a. Name of nominee(s) and title:

b. Organization:

c. Address:

d. Telephone number:

e. E-mail:

2. Nominator information\*

a. Name of nominator and title:

b. Organization: (if applicable)

c. Address:

d. Telephone number:

e. E-mail:

\*Additional space for nominee(s) and/or nominator information is provided on the second page.



Nomination Form (*continued*)

1. Nominee(s) information (*additional space if needed*)

2. Nominator information (*additional space if needed*)

# CIHR Gold Leaf Prize for Transformation: Patient Engagement



Summary using language accessible to a lay audience describing the achievements of the nominee(s) in the area of patient engagement. (2000 character limit, including spaces)



Explanation of how the nominee(s) meet(s) the *Evaluation Criteria*\*. (9000 character limit, including spaces)

*\*Evaluation Criteria:*

1. Alignment with the guiding principles of the SPOR Patient Engagement Framework:
  - Inclusiveness: Patient engagement in research integrates a diversity of patient perspectives and the resulting research is reflective of their contribution – i.e., patients are bringing their lives into this.
  - Support: Adequate support and flexibility are provided to patient participants to ensure that they can contribute fully to discussions and decisions. This implies creating safe environments that promote honest interactions, cultural competence, training, and education. Support also implies financial compensation for their involvement.
  - Mutual Respect: Researchers, practitioners and patients acknowledge and value each other's expertise and experiential knowledge.
  - Co-Build: Patients, researchers and practitioners work together from the beginning to identify problems and gaps, set priorities for research and work together to produce and implement solutions.
2. Demonstration that engaging patients has effected a meaningful influence on the design and conduct of the research and that patient-identified questions and outcomes are addressed;
3. The impact of the anticipated and/or real outcomes of the research, including the potential to catalyze culture change to integrating patient engagement in research, to creating new approaches, methods or initiatives, and/or creating sustainable collaborations amongst researchers, patients, health practitioners, administrators, policy-makers and other relevant stakeholders.